

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

i			
2	MIKE GLEASON Chairman Arizona Corporation Commission		
3	WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Commissioner WINDELL DOCKETED		
4	JEFF HATCH-MILLER Commissioner APR 2 4 2008		
5	KRISTIN K. MAYES Commissioner DOCKETED BY		
6	GARY PIERCE Commissioner		
7	Commissioner		
8	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION) DOCKET NO. T-02811B-07-0029		
9	OF QWEST COMMUNICATIONS { CORPORATION TARIFF FILING TO }		
10	INCREASE THE MAXIMUM MONTHLY DECISION NO. 70299 RATES FOR VARIOUS OBSOLETE		
11	RESIDENTIAL CALLING PLANS ORDER		
12	}		
13			
14	Open Meeting		
15	April 8 and 9, 2008 Phoenix, Arizona		
16	BY THE COMMISSION:		
17	FINDINGS OF FACT		
18	1. Qwest Communications Corporation ("QCC") is certificated to provide		
19	interexchange telecommunications service as a public service corporation in the State of Arizona.		
20	2. On January 16, 2007, Qwest Communications Corporation ("QCC") filed tariff		
21	revisions to increase several maximum monthly rates for its Arizona Tariff No. 2. QCC seeks to		
22	increase its maximum monthly rate, per account, charge for the following seven (7) obsolete		
23	residential calling plans from \$0.99 to \$1.99:		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

Calling Plan	Current Maximum Monthly	Proposed Maximum Monthly
	Rate Per Account	Rate Per Account
QCC 10 Cent Flat Rate Plan	\$.99	\$1.99
QCC Lead Flat	\$.99	\$1.99
QCC Membership Plan	\$.99	\$1.99
QCC 200 Plan	\$.99	\$1.99
QCC Rollback	\$.99	\$1.99
QCC Unlimited Calling	\$.99	\$1.99
QCC Choice Long Distance	\$.99	\$1.99

- 3. On January 16, 2007, Qwest Communications Corporation ("QCC") filed tariff revisions to increase several maximum monthly rates for its Arizona Tariff No. 2. QCC seeks to increase its maximum monthly, per account, charge for the following seven (7) obsolete residential calling plans from \$0.99 to \$1.99:
- 4. The maximum monthly rate, per account charge applies whether the customer makes any interexchange calls and regardless or whether any intrastate calls are made during a month.
- 5. The maximum monthly rates are related to the subject of a proceeding that resulted in a Settlement Agreement approved by Decision No. 67745 on April 11, 2005.

Staff and Qwest LD Corp., its parent, affiliated companies (which includes QCC) or their successors ("Qwest" or "the Company"), (the Parties") agreed to a settlement of the Complaint and Petition for Order to Show Cause Docket ("Docket") filed by Staff and currently pending before the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission"), in Docket No. T-04190A-04-0904 (hereinafter referred to as "the Staff Complaint"). The Staff Complaint alleges that Qwest LD Corp. was required to file tariff and/or price list revisions with the Commission prior to implementing a \$2.99 surcharge as part of its Qwest Choice Long Distance calling plan, which applies to both interstate and intrastate long distance calls made by Arizona customers. The terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement were intended to resolve all of the issues associated with Qwest's implementation of the \$2.99 surcharge as part of its Qwest Choice Long Distance calling plans as set forth in the Staff Complaint.

6. In the Settlement Agreement, Qwest acknowledged and agreed to accept on an interim basis, without prejudice, and until further Order of the Commission or until the conclusion

of the Generic Docket¹, the Staffs interpretation of the Arizona statues and rules regarding tariffing of monthly recurring charges for long distance plans which are applicable to both interstate and intrastate calls. The Generic Docket was required as part of the Settlement Agreement.

- 7. On December 19, 2006, Staff filed its report in the Generic Docket. In its report, Staff recommended:
 - "...that QLDC be given the option of (1) listings all long distance rates and charges within its Arizona intrastate tariffs or (2) denoting within its Arizona intrastate tariffs those charges and rates which are listed in QLDC's interstate tariffs."
 - "...that QLDC be given 90 days to modify its Arizona intrastate tariffs accordingly."
 - "...that all intrastate long distance providers comply with the above Staff interpretation of tariffing rules and statutes. Intrastate long distance providers should be given 90 days to modify their Arizona intrastate tariffs accordingly, if necessary."
- 8. A decision has not been issued in the Generic Docket. Until such time as the Commission makes a determination in the Generic Docket, the provisions of the Settlement Agreement continue to apply.
- 9. Since Qwest proposes to increases the maximum monthly rate for a component of a service that has been classified as competitive under the Commission's Competitive Telecommunications Service Rules, Arizona Administrative Code Rule ("A.A.C.") R14-2-1110 applies to QCC's proposal. QCC provided the information required by A.A.C. R14-2-1110 to allow Staff to determine the potential affects of approval of the filing.
- The proposed rate increases contained in this filing are for services that have been classified as competitive by the Commission and are now subject to the Commission's Competitive Telecommunications Services Rules. Under those rules, rates for competitive services are generally not set according to rate of return regulation.

Decision No. _____**70299**

¹ In The Matter Of The Generic Investigation Of Tariffing And Notice Requirements For Combined Interstate And Intrastate Calling Plans, Docket No. RT-00000J-05-0329

² Actual information was been provided to Staff as Confidential. Originally, QCC inadvertently provided information for 14-states and subsequently provided Arizona specific information.

³ Id.

⁴ *Id*.

⁵ Qwest Communications Corporation 2006 Annual Report, April 15, 2007.

⁶ e.g., AT&T - \$2.00, \$2.95, \$7.95, \$32.99; Cox - \$3.95, \$25.00; Embarq - \$5.95, \$6.95.

Decision No. **70299**

11. QCC provided information which indicated that the Estimated Potential Additional Revenues associated with this filing at end of year 2006 were less than \$10,000². By end of year 2007, the Estimated Potential Additional Revenues declined to less than \$5,000³. At end of year 2007, the customer base for these services was less than 1,000⁴ residence customers.

- 12. The revenue increase associated with the proposed maximum rates is less than 1 percent of QCC's 2006 intrastate revenues⁵. Additionally, QCC provided information indicating that its proposed rates are comparable to those of competitor calling plans⁶.
- 13. Staff obtained information regarding QCC's fair value rate base. Because of the nature of the competitive market and other factors, a fair value analysis is not necessarily representative of the company's operations. Therefore, while Staff considered the fair value rate base information of QCC, it did not accord that information substantial weight in it analysis of this matter.
- 14. Staff notes that QCC did not file changes to the current rates corresponding to the maximum proposed rates, as are required by A.A.C. R14-2-1109(B). QCC, therefore, is not increasing the current or actual prices at this time but, rather, plans at some future time to file for such increases. This filing will not have immediate impact on the prices paid by residence customers. At a time when QCC does propose raising its current or actual rates, QCC must file such changes consistent with the requirements of A.A.C. R14-2-1109(B).
 - 15. Staff has recommended approval of this filing.

ORDER

16.

1.

2.

3.

with Commission requirements.

the subject matter of this Application.

2 3 4

1

5

6

7

8 9

11 12

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Qwest Communications Corporation re-notice customers in a manner and form consistent with Commission requirements.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

Lawell follows CHAIRMAN	COMMISSIONER
Spenie Hatch Miller	Cand Pin
COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER
	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this 44 day of 400 , 2008.
	BRIAN C. McNEII Executive Director
DISSENT: WILLIAM	
DISSENT:	

 $EGJ:AFF:lhm\backslash MAS$